EXHIBIT 2

	Case 1:09-cv-09832-LGS Document	92-2	2 Filed 05/31/13 Page 2 of 2
	Page 346		Page 347
1	SANDRA GUZMAN-10/13/11	1	SANDRA GUZMAN-10/13/11
2	A. Where does to say that?	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. The beginning of paragraph two, the	3	Q. You weren't an employee you were
4	term of the agreement is from July 7, '03 to	4	an employee of The New York Post and NYP
5	July 6, '05, right?	5	Holdings right?
6	A. Right.	6	A. And News Corp.
7	Q. And this agreement was not renewed,	7	Q. You weren't an employee of News
8	correct?	8	Corp., were you?
9	A. I didn't sign another agreement.	9	A. I was because I served on
10	Q. And the agreement says that in that	10	committees and I frequently interacted with
11	paragraph, any continued employment with the	11	News Corp. employees. We I mentioned the
12		12	two committees that I worked on, the Cool
13	company will be on terms determined by the	13	Change and I worked on the Hispanic Diversity
	company. And it will be at will of no fixed	14	Counsel. And I helped with the editing of
14	term and may be terminated at any time by	15	•
15	either you or the company with or without	16	community newspapers that were owned by News
16	notice or any other no reason. Right?	17	Corp.
17	A. Yes.	1	So, I considered my employment also
18	Q. So, after July 26, 2005, you became	18	with News Corp.
19	an at-will employment at will-employee of	19	Q. But your employment agreement
20	The Post right?	20	states that your agreement is between NYP
21	A. Right.	21	Holdings and Sandra Guzman, right?
22	Q. Did Lachlan Murdoch tell you that	22	A. Yes.
23	one of the reasons that you would be hired was	23	Q. And your supervisors were
24	to increase readership among minorities and	24	Mr. Robinowitz and Mr. Allan?
25	Hispanics?	25	A. Yes.
	Page 348		Page 349
1	SANDRA GUZMAN-10/13/11	1	SANDRA GUZMAN-10/13/11
2	Q. New York Post employees, right?	2	A. Right.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. I believe you testified he's an
4	Q. And determination of your	4	attorney?
5	termination was made by New York Post	5	A. Yes.
6	employees, right?	6	Q. Did you know that Mr. Ramirez's
7	A. As far as I understand, yes.	7	position at 20th Century Fox was as an
8	Q. And your paycheck was The New York	8	employee and his title was VP of marketing?
9	Post, right?	9	A. Yes, he's an attorney.
10	A. NYP Holdings.	10	Q. Is he functioning as an attorney
11	Q. Were you ever paid by News Corp.?	11	for the 20th Century Fox or was it as VP of
12	A. I don't believe so, no.	12	marketing?
13	Q. And your annual reviews were	13	A. He wasn't an attorney for the
14	conducted by your supervisors at The Post,	14	company, but an attorney who was functioning
15	right?	15	in a marketing capacity.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. So, he's he wasn't working as a
17	Q. And you sat your office was located	17	lawyer?
18	and on the premises of The New York Post	18	A. For the paper?
19	offices right?	19	Q. Correct.
20	A. Yes.	20	A. But, he is a lawyer. So, he wasn't
		3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21	•	21	working for News Corp. as an attorney, but he
D O	withdrawn.	22	is an attorney.
22	Van tactified scaling about Dist.	h o	O Doog ho work at all as an attangen
23	You testified earlier about Rick	23	Q. Does he work at all as an attorney
	Ramirez, a gentleman that works out of	23 24 25	Q. Does he work at all as an attorney or is he full-time does he have full-time job employment at 20th Century Fox as a VP of